s.

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference<br>772-1041 jā                          | FOR FURTHER ACTION   | Sce item 4 below   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| International application No.<br>PCT/EP2004/006113                            | International filing date (day/month/year) 07 June 2004 (07.06.2004) | Priority date (day/manth/year) 17 June 2003 (17.06.2003) |  |
| International Patent Classification (8t<br>See relevant Information in Form I | h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237                |  |  |
| Applicant<br>KUKA SCHWEISSANLAGEN GM  | ВН   |  |  |
|   |  | 300 A.C.   |  |

| 1. | This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).                              |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2. | This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.  |  |  |
|    | In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. |  |  |
| 3. | This report contains indications  | s relating to the following items:   |  |
|    | Box No. I   | Basis of the report  |  |
|    | Box No. Π   | Priority   |  |
|    | Box No. III   | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |  |
|    | Box No. IV  | Jack of unity of invention   |  |
|    | Box No. V   | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  |  |
|    | Box No. VI  | Certain documents cited  |  |
|    | Вох №. УП   | Certain defects in the international application   |  |
|    | Βοχ Νο. Υπι   | Certain observations on the international application  |  |
| 4. | The International Bureau will onot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).  | communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but the makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority |  |

|  | Date of issuance of this report<br>01 May 2006 (01.05.2006) |  |
|--|---|--|
| The International Bureau of WIPO  34. chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland | Authorized officer Ellen Moyse                              |  |
| Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35   | Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75                              |  |

Form PCT/TB/373 (January 2004)

s.

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
|---|--|
| Fo:   | PCT PCT  |
|   | WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY   |
|   | (PCT Rule 43bis.1)   |
|   | Date of mailing (day/manth/year)   |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference   | FOR FURTHER ACTION   |
| 772-1041 jä   | See paragraph 2 below  |
| I I   | ational filing date (dex/month/year) Priority date (dex/month/year) 17.06.2003   |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both nation                              | al classification and IPC  |
|   |  |
| Applicant   |  |
| KUKA SCHWEISSANLAGEN GME  | SH .   |
| This opinion contains indications relating to a                                       | the following tiems:   |
|   |  |
|   | 1  |
|   |  |
|   | of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and inclustrial applicability  |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of int  Box No. V Reasoned statement                         |  |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement applicability: citation                                  | t under Rule 43b/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial one and explanations supporting such statement   |
| Box No. VI Cortain documents  | ested .  |
| Box No. VII Certain defects in II   | he international application   |
| Box No. VIII Cortain observation  | a on the international application   |
| 2. FURTHER ACTION   |  |
| If a demand for international preliminary international Preliminary Examining Authors | examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the ity ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of the best considered. |
| PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 n  | ered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form nonths from the priority date, whichever expires later.   |
| For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.  |  |
| 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/IS                                      | A/220.   |
| Promo and malifest address of the second  |  |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/EF  | Authorized officer   |
|   |  |
| Facsimile No.   | [Theless V   |
|   | Telephone No.  |

Imernational application No.
PCT/EP2004/0061.1.3

| Bar | lax No. 1 Basis of this optoton   |                         |                       |   |  |
|-----|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| ١.  | . With regard to the language, this opinion has been established a filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.   | on the hasis of the int | ernational applicat   | ion to the language                             | e in which it was                      |
|     | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translat  | ion from the original   | language into the t   | following language                              |  |
|     | which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search   |                         |                       |   | al search (under                       |
|     | Role 12.3 and 23.1(b)).   |                         |                       |   |  |
| 2.  | . With regard to any nucleotide and/or omino ocid acquence invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of   | disclosed in the int    | emational applica     | tion and necessary                              | , to the claimed                       |
|     | a. type of material   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     | a sequence Usitng   | · <u>-</u> _            |                       |   |  |
|     | table(s) related to the sequence listing  |                         |                       |   |  |
|     | b. format of material   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     | in written format   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     | in computer readable form   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     | c. time of filing/furnishing  |                         |                       | •   |  |
|     | contained in the international application as filed.  |                         |                       |   |  |
|     | filed together with the international application in co   | monter readable form    |                       |   |  |
|     | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purp   | •                       |                       |   |  |
|     | turnished staxed seems to this Manually for the purp  | osex or scaren.         |                       |   |  |
| 3.  | In addition, in the case that more than one version or confurnished, the required statements that the information in titled or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appr | the subsequent or add   | ditional copies is in | ) relating thereto I<br>leatical to that in the | nes been filed or<br>he application as |
| 4.  | Additional comments:  |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
| ļ   |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |
|     |   |                         |                       |   |  |

s.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006113

| Box | No. II       | Priority   |  |  |
|-----|--------------|--|--|--|
| i.  | 57           |  |  |  |
| 2.  | Thin c       | umption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.  Spinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid a 43bis, Land 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the intidate, |  |  |
| 3.  | Additional o | observations, if necessary:  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |
|     |              |  |  |  |

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006113

| Box | Box No. V Revenued statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelly, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement |                   |                      |     |  |  |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----|--|--|
| 1.  | Statement  |                   |                      |     |  |  |
|     | Novelty (N)  | Claims            | 12-15, 18, 19, 21-23 | YES |  |  |
|     |  | Cjalms            | 1-11, 16, 17, 20     | ио  |  |  |
|     | Inventive step (IS)  | Claims            | 14, 15, 18, 19       | YES |  |  |
|     |  | Claims            | 1-13. 16. 17. 20-23  | ло  |  |  |
|     | Industrial applicabi   | ility (IA) Claims | 1-23                 | YES |  |  |
|     |  | Claims            |                      | NO  |  |  |

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: Patent Abstracts of Japan, volume 1996, number 02, 29 February 1996 & JP 7285091 A (K G K:KK), 31 October 1995 D2: EP 0386729 A (Eckard Design GmbH), 12 September 1990 D3: EP 1216798 A (Genus Technologies), 26 June 2002

#### 2. Independent claim 1

The subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2). Document D1 namely discloses (the references between parentheses relate to this document):

a gripping device for workpieces which is guided by a manipulator, the gripping device having a plurality of apparatus parts (2, 6) and a securing device for fixing geometry changes (by means of the switch 18), and the securing device having a deflection securing device (3, 7), which prevents collisions, on the apparatus parts.

As a consequence, all the features of claim 1 are known from D1.

Imernational application No.
PCT/EP2004/006113

Box No. V

Remoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to society, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Document D2 also discloses all the features of claim 1.

#### 3. Dependent claims 2-13, 16, 17, 20-23

In view of the disclosure of documents D1 and D2, claims 2 to 13, 16, 17, and 20 to 23 do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step. The applicant is invited to comment on the following statements:

- claim 2: see D1, connecting parts between the robot arm (B) and the robot hand (A)
- claim 3: see D1, securing parts (3) and (7)
- claim 4: see D1, clamping and frictional connection by means of the spring (11)
- claim 5: see D1, latching element (14)
- claim 6: see D1, securing parts (3) and (7) connected or with apparatus parts (A) and (B)
- claim 7: see D1, latching element (14) between securing parts (3) and (7)
- claims 8 & 9: see D1, spring (15)
- claim 10: see Dl, sphere (3) and enclosing mounting (7)
- claim ll: see D2, joint ball (3) and straight tube section (5)

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006113

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. Itali) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- claims 12 & 13: not inventive because the annular collar is known from D2 and the enclosing cap is known from D1
- claims 16 & 17: see D1, detector (18)
- claim 20: it is mentioned in the description of D1 that the drives of the robot are brought to a standstill if an overload signal is transmitted. It goes without saying that a controller is required to evaluate this signal and to control the drives.
- claims 21 to 23: D3 discloses a gripping device for car-body parts, comprising a frame having a plurality of gripping or clamping elements and having a docking point for connection to a manipulator. The expert would use the securing devices disclosed in D1 for a gripping device as per D3, without thereby being inventive.

#### 4. Dependent claims 14, 15, 18, 19

The combination of features contained in dependent claims 14, 15, 18 and 19 appears to be neither known from the present prior art nor rendered obvious by it.

#### 5. Industrial applicability

Claims 1 to 23 meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(4) for industrial applicability.

#### 6. Other comments

The applicant is requested to check the reference of dependent claims 4 to 23 to the other claims. For

Box No. V

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006113

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement example, claim 4 should not be worded as "Gripping device according to claim 1, 2 or 3" but as "Gripping device according to claim 3", as it refers to the securing parts (11, 12) which are defined only in claim 3.